arXiv:1304.1750v1 [math.CA] 5 Apr 2013

SARASON CONJECTURE ON THE BERGMAN SPACE

ALEXANDRU ALEMAN, SANDRA POTT, AND MARIA CARMEN REGUERA

Abstract. We characterise bounded Toeplitz products on the Bergman space in terms of test functions by means of a dyadic model approach. We also present some results about two-weighted estimates for the Bergman projection, and a counterexample to the extended Sarason conjecture for Bergman space. Finally, we introduce the class B_∞ and give sharp estimates for the one-weighted Bergman projection.

1. Introduction

Let dA denote Lebesgue area measure on the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, normalized so that the measure of $\mathbb{D}$ equals 1. The Bergman space $A^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the closed subspace of analytic functions in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$. Likewise, the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ consisting of analytic functions.

The Bergman projection P_B, given by

$$P_B f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2} dA(\zeta),$$

is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ onto $A^2(\mathbb{D})$, while the Riesz projection P_R denotes the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ to $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. For each function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ we have the densely defined Bergman space Toeplitz operator T_f on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$, given by

$$T_f u = P_B f u.$$

In the same way, given $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, the Hardy space Toeplitz operator $\mathcal{T}_f$ on H^2 is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_f v = P_R f v,$$

where u and v are suitable elements in A^2 and H^2, respectively.

For analytic f, it is easy to see that both the Bergman space Toeplitz operator T_f and the Hardy space Toeplitz operator $\mathcal{T}_f$ are bounded, if and only if f is a bounded function on $\mathbb{D}$.

In this paper, we shall study the question as to which pairs of functions $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$ give rise to a bounded Toeplitz product operator

$$T_f T_g^* : A^2(\mathbb{D}) \to A^2(\mathbb{D}).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: Primary: 47B38, 30H20 Secondary: 42C40, 42A61,42A50 .

Key words and phrases. Bergman spaces, Toeplitz products, Two weight inequalities, Bekollé weights.

Supported partially by the Crafoord Foundation and by Lund University, Mathematics in the Faculty of Science, with a postdoctoral research grant.

This questions has a rich history and interesting connections to Harmonic Analysis, as we outline below.

Sarason [43] conjectured the following:

Conjecture 1.1 (Sarason Conjecture for the Bergman space)**.** *Let* $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$*. Then* $T_f T_g^*$ *is bounded on* $A^2(\mathbb{D})$*, if and only if*

$$b_{f,g} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} B(|f|^2)(z) B(|g|^2)(z) < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where B *denotes the Berezin transform,*

$$Bf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\zeta)(1-|z|^2)^2}{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|^4} dA(\zeta). \tag{1.3}$$

Likewise, he conjectured the following for the case of the Hardy space:

Conjecture 1.4 (Sarason Conjecture for the Hardy space)**.** *Given* $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$*,* $\mathcal{T}_f \mathcal{T}_g^*$ *is bounded in* $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ *if and only if*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mathcal{P}(|f|^2)(z) \mathcal{P}(|g|^2)(z) < \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ *denotes the Poisson extension.*

Both in the Bergman space and the Hardy space case, these questions are closely connected to very interesting questions in Harmonic Analysis, namely two-weight estimates for the Bergman projection, respectively the Riesz projection.

Cruz-Uribe observed [8] the following commutative diagram in the case of the Hardy space:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^2(\mathbb{T}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{T}_f \mathcal{T}_g^*"] \arrow[d, "M_{\bar{g}}"'] & H^2(\mathbb{T}) \\
L^2(\frac{1}{|g|^2}, \mathbb{T}) \arrow[r, "P_R"] & H^2(|f|^2, \mathbb{T}) \arrow[u, "M_f"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $M_{\bar{g}}$, M_f on the vertical sides denote multiplication with the respective symbols, and these operators are isometric by definition of the weights. A similar argument can be made for the Bergman space,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A^2(\mathbb{D}) \arrow[r, "T_f T_g^*"] \arrow[d, "M_{\bar{g}}"'] & A^2(\mathbb{D}) \\
L^2(\frac{1}{|g|^2}, \mathbb{D}) \arrow[r, "P_B"] & A^2(|f|^2, \mathbb{D}) \arrow[u, "M_f"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.6}$$

again with isometric operators on the vertical sides. One can thus see easily that the top row of each diagram is bounded, if and only if the bottom row is bounded.

Hence the question on the boundedness of Toeplitz products can be translated to the problem of boundedness of the two-weighted Bergman projection

$$P_B : L^2(\mathbb{D}, \frac{1}{|g|^2}) \to L^2(\mathbb{D}, |f|^2) \tag{1.7}$$

respectively boundedness of the two-weighted Riesz projection

$$P_R : L^2(\mathbb{T}, \frac{1}{|g|^2}) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}, |f|^2) \tag{1.8}$$

in the case of the Hardy space.

This connection motivated the Sarason conjectures 1.1, 1.4 above. Namely, condition (1.2) is the natural two-weight form of the Békollé-Bonami condition B_2 for a weight function w on $\mathbb{D}$,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} B(w)(z)B(w^{-1})(z) < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to the boundedness of the one-weighted Bergman projection

$$P_B : L^2(\mathbb{D}, w) \to A^2(\mathbb{D}, w), \tag{1.9}$$

and also to the boundedness of the maximal one-weighted Bergman projection

$$P_B^+ : L^2(\mathbb{D}, w) \to L^2(\mathbb{D}, w), \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$P_B^+(f) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} dA(\zeta) \tag{1.11}$$

(see [5]). In the same way, (1.5) is the natural two-weight form of the invariant Muckenhoupt condition A_2 for a weight function v ,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \mathcal{P}(v)(z)\mathcal{P}(v^{-1})(z) < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to the boundedness of the one-weighted Riesz projection

$$P_R : L^2(\mathbb{D}, v) \to L^2(\mathbb{D}, v), \tag{1.12}$$

or equivalently, the one-weighted Hilbert transform H [17].

The problem of classifying those pairs of weights (ρ, v) for which the two-weighted Riesz projection

$$P_R : L^2(\mathbb{D}, \rho) \to L^2(\mathbb{D}, v), \tag{1.13}$$

or equivalently, the two-weighted Hilbert transform is bounded, is a famous problem in Harmonic Analysis. For a long time, it was conjectured that a version of (1.5) for general weights (ρ, v), the joint invariant A_2 condition

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \mathcal{P}(v)(z)\mathcal{P}(\rho^{-1})(z) < \infty \tag{1.14}$$

characterises (1.13). This would in particularly imply Sarason's conjecture on Hardy spaces. However, F. Nazarov disproved both this conjecture and the Sarason conjecture 1.4. in 1997 [32]. The two-weight Hilbert transform problem, the problem of characterising boundedness of (1.13), has been the subject of intense recent research activity, see e.g. [36], [35], [26], [25], [22] and the references therein.

Sarason's Conjecture 1.1 for Toeplitz products on Bergman spaces, in contrast, is still open. It also has a natural version for general weights, namely

Conjecture 1.15 (extended Sarason Conjecture for Bergman space)**.** *Let σ, w be two weights in $\mathbb{D}$. Then the joint B_2-condition holds, i.e.,*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} B(w)(z)B(\sigma^{-1})(z) < \infty, \tag{1.16}$$

if and only if

$$P_B : L^2(\mathbb{D},\sigma) \to L^2(\mathbb{D},w) \tag{1.17}$$

is bounded.

The purpose of this paper is to give a characterisation of bounded Toeplitz products on Bergman space by means of natural test function conditions, and to shed some light on the relation to the joint B_2-type condition. Our main results can be summarised as follows:

Theorem 1.18. *Let $P_B^+(\cdot)$ be the maximal Bergman projection on the disc $\mathbb{D}$, and let $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$. The following are equivalent*

1. $T_f T_g^* : A^2(\mathbb{D}) \mapsto A^2(\mathbb{D})$ *is bounded;*
2. $P_B(|g|\cdot) : L^2(\mathbb{D},|g|^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{D},|f|^2)$ *bounded;*
3. $P_B^+(|g|\cdot) : L^2(\mathbb{D},|g|^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{D},|f|^2)$ *bounded;*
4. (a) $\||f|P_B^+(|g||g|1_{Q_I})\|_{L^2} \le C_0\||g|1_{Q_I}\|_{L^2}$,
   (b) $\||g|P_B^+(|f||f|1_{Q_I})\|_{L^2} \le C_0\||f|1_{Q_I}\|_{L^2}$,
   for all intervals $I \in \mathbb{T}$ and with constant C_0 uniform on I.

Here, the first equivalence is Cruz-Uribe's observation, the second equivalence is proved in Section 4, and the last equivalence is proved in Section 3. While we cannot answer Conjecture 1.1, we will present a counterexample to the extended Sarason conjecture 1.15 for Bergman space in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to an application to the proof of sharp estimates for one-weighted Bergman projection.

Sufficient conditions for the boundedness of Toeplitz products in the style of the so-called bump conditions can be found in [44] and in [31]. In spite of the formal similarities, the problem of the Hardy space and in the Bergman space setting, the problem is quite different in both settings. Interestingly, the situation in the Bergman space is partly easier and partly more difficult to analyse than the one in the Hardy space.

It is easier, because cancellation plays much less of a role with the Bergman kernel, as already apparent from the equivalence of (1.9) and (1.10). Our strategy is thus to replace P_B by P_B^+ in (1.17), and to use two-weight techniques for positive operators in Section 3, via a suitable dyadic model operator introduced in Section 2. It is not difficult to see that P_B

cannot be replaced by P_B^+ for general weights w, σ on $\mathbb{D}$. However, somewhat surprisingly, it turns out that this is possible for the weights $\frac{1}{|g|^2}$, $|f|^2$ in (1.6). This is the equivalence of (2) and (3) in Theorem 1.18, which will be proved in Section 4, and allows us to finally characterise the boundedness of Toeplitz products in Bergman space in terms of test function.

On the other hand, the special rôle played by weights coming from analytic functions, which we exploit in Section 4 and which is in contrast to the situation on the Hardy space, makes it much more difficult to find a counterexample of the Sarason Conjecture on Bergman space (1.2). We prove a counterexample to the extended Sarason conjecture 1.15 in Section 5, and discuss its proximity to a possible counterexample for (1.2).

2. A DYADIC MODEL FOR THE MAXIMAL BERGMAN PROJECTION

In this section we aim to find a dyadic operator that models the behaviour of the maximal Bergman projection. To be precise, we find a dyadic averaging operator that is pointwise comparable to the maximal Bergman projection.

The use of translations of a dyadic system to extend results from a dyadic setting to a continuous one is a well known tool. These ideas go back to the work of Garnett and Jones [15], Christ [7] and also Tao Mei [30]. In our case, we will use two of these dyadic systems to recover the maximal Bergman kernel from dyadic operators.

For $\beta \in \{0, 1/3\}$, we define

$$\mathcal{D}^\beta := \left\{ [2^{-j}2\pi m + 2\pi\frac{1}{3},\, 2^{-j}2\pi(m+1) + 2\pi\frac{1}{3}) : m \in \mathbb{N},\, j \in \mathbb{N},\, j \geq 0,\, 0 \leq m \leq 2^j \right\}.$$

The key fact is that any interval in the torus is contained in one interval belonging to these two families of dyadic grids, moreover the measure of the two intervals is essentially the same. We formulate the result below. Its proof is a well-known exercise that the reader can find in many places, e.g. [30].

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be any interval in $\mathbb{T}$. Then there exists an interval $K \in \mathcal{D}^\beta$ for some $\beta \in \{0, 1/3\}$ such that $I \subset K$ and $|K| \leq 6|I|$.*

We define the family of dyadic operators that will control the maximal Bergman projection (1.11) as the following.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{D}^\beta$ be one of the dyadic grids in $\mathbb{T}$ described above. For all $z, \xi \in \mathbb{D}$, we define the positive dyadic kernel

$$K^\beta(z,\xi) := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \frac{1_{Q_I}(z) 1_{Q_I}(\xi)}{|I|^2}, \tag{2.3}$$

where Q_I is the Carleson box associated to I, namely

$$Q_I := \{r\mathrm{e}^{i\theta} :\ 1 - |I| \leq r < 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{e}^{i\theta} \in I\}, \tag{2.4}$$

and $|I|$ stands for the normalized length of the interval. Associated to this kernel we define the following dyadic operator

$$P^\beta f(z) := \sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} \langle f, \frac{1_{Q_I}}{|I|^2}\rangle 1_{Q_I}(z). \tag{2.5}$$

The following proposition proves the relation between the kernels (2.8) and the dyadic kernels described in (2.3).

Proposition 2.6. *There exist constants C and $\tilde{C}$ such that for every $\beta_0 \in \{0, 1/3\}$, every $f \in L^1_{loc}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$\tilde{C} P^{\beta_0} f(z) \leq P^+_B f(z) \leq C \sum_{\beta\in\{0,1/3\}} P^\beta f(z), \tag{2.7}$$

where P^+_B is the maximal Bergman projection as defined in (1.11) and P^β the dyadic operator described in (2.5).

Proof of Proposition (2.6). Let $K(z,\xi)$ denote the kernel associated to the maximal Bergman projection, i.e.,

$$K(z,\xi) = \frac{1}{|1 - z\bar{\xi}|^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then it is enough to prove that there exist constants C and $\tilde{C}$ such that for every β_0 and every z, ξ in $\mathbb{D}$ we have the following estimates on the kernel,

$$\tilde{C} K^{\beta_0}(z,\xi) \leq K(z,\xi) \leq C \sum_{\beta\in\{0,1/3\}} K^\beta(z,\xi) \tag{2.9}$$

Let us first prove the left hand side of (2.9). We consider $z = r_0 e^{i\theta_0}$ and $\xi = s_0 e^{i\varphi_0}$. Without loss, we can assume that $r_0 \leq s_0$. We choose $I_0 \in \mathcal{D}^{\beta_0}$ to be the minimal interval such that $|I_0| \geq 1 - r_0$ and $e^{i\theta_0}$, $e^{i\varphi_0} \in I_0$. Then, it is easy to see that $z, \xi \in Q_{I_0}$. It could be that such an interval doesn't exist, in that case the inequality is trivially true. From $z, \xi \in Q_{I_0}$ we can deduce

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta} \frac{1_{Q_I(z)} 1_{Q_I(\xi)}}{|I|^2} = \sum_{I, I_0\subset I} \frac{1}{|I|^2} \leq C \frac{1}{|I_0|^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

To conclude the proof of the left hand side, we need to show

$$|1 - z\bar{\xi}|^2 \leq C|I_0|^2, \tag{2.11}$$

for some uniform constant C. We can write $|1 - z\bar{\xi}|^2$ as

$$|1 - z\bar{\xi}|^2 = (1 - r_0 s_0)^2 + 4r_0 s_0 \sin^2(\frac{\theta_0 - \varphi_0}{2}). \tag{2.12}$$

We distinguish two cases, when $(1-r_0s_0)^2$ is the majorant term, and when $4r_0s_0\sin^2(\frac{\theta_0-\varphi_0}{2})$ is the majorant.

(1) Case 1. If $(1-r_0s_0)^2 > 4r_0s_0\sin^2(\frac{\theta_0-\varphi_0}{2})$, then

$$|1-z\bar{\xi}|^2 \leq 2(1-r_0s_0)^2 \leq 8|I_0|^2,$$

as desired.

(2) Case 2. Suppose on the contrary that $(1-r_0s_0)^2 \leq 4r_0s_0\sin^2(\frac{\theta_0-\varphi_0}{2})$. Since $\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_0}$, $\mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_0} \in I_0$, we know that $|I_0| \geq |\theta_0-\varphi_0|$. Then

$$|1-z\bar{\xi}|^2 \leq 8r_0s_0\sin^2(\frac{\theta_0-\varphi_0}{2}) \leq 2|\theta_0-\varphi_0|^2 \leq 2|I_0|^2,$$

as desired.

Therefore we have concluded the proof of the left hand side of (2.9). We now turn to the right hand inequality in (2.9). Once again let us fix $z,\xi \in \mathbb{D}$, and write them as before as $z = r_0\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_0}$ and $\xi = s_0\mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_0}$.

It is enough to prove the existence of an interval I_0 in $\mathbb{T}$ such that $z,\xi \in Q_{I_0}$ and $|I_0|^2 \simeq |1-z\bar{\xi}|^2$. If such an interval exists, by Lemma 2.1, we find $K \in \mathcal{D}^\beta$ for some $\beta \in \{0,1/3\}$ such that $I_0 \subset K$ and $|K| \leq 6|I_0|$. Now the proof of the proposition follows from the set of inequalities below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|1-z\bar{\xi}|^2} &\lesssim \frac{1}{|I_0|^2} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{36|K|^2} \\
&\leq C\sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta \\ K\subset I}} \frac{1_{Q_I}(z)1_{Q_I}(\xi)}{|I|^2} \\
&\leq C\sum_{\beta\in\{0,1/3\}} K^\beta(z,\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have reduced the problem to prove the existence I_0 interval in $\mathbb{T}$ such that $z,\xi \in Q_{I_0}$ and $|I_0|^2 \simeq |1-z\bar{\xi}|^2$. Notice than in the normalized arc measure $|\cdot|$, we will always have $|\theta_0-\varphi_0| \leq 1/2$, and since $|\sin x| \simeq |x|$ for $|x| \leq \pi/2$, we have

$$|1-z\bar{\xi}|^2 \simeq (1-r_0s_0)^2 + r_0s_0|\theta_0-\varphi_0|^2 \simeq (1-r_0^2)^2 + |\theta_0-\varphi_0|^2. \tag{2.13}$$

by (2.12). Let us choose I_0 to be a minimal interval such that

$$|I_0|^2 = \max((1-r_0^2)^2, |\theta_0-\varphi_0|^2)$$

and $\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_0}, \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_0} \in I_0$. It is easy to see that $z,\xi \in Q_{I_0}$. We have to prove that $|I_0|^2 \simeq |1-z\bar{\xi}|^2$. But this follows directly from (2.13).

This finishes the proof of the proposition.

$\square$

3. Two weight estimates for the Maximal Bergman Projection

In this section we establish two-weight estimates for the maximal Bergman projection. We start by providing a two-weight characterization of boundedness for general dyadic positive operators, to conclude the desired estimates for the maximal Bergman projection as a consequence of the dyadic result and inequalities (2.7).

There are three equivalent formulations for two weighted inequalities that we will use in turn. A weight function will be an nonnegative measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^{\ltimes}$, not necessarily locally integrable. Let w, v be weight functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $1 < p < \infty$ and p' its dual exponent. We define $\sigma := v^{1-p'}$, which is usually called the dual weight of v. Let T be an operator. Then the following are equivalent:

$$T : L^p(v) \mapsto L^p(w) \tag{3.1}$$

$$T(\sigma \cdot) : L^p(\sigma) \mapsto L^p(w) \tag{3.2}$$

$$w^{1/p} T(\sigma^{1/p'} \cdot) : L^p \mapsto L^p. \tag{3.3}$$

In this section we will mostly use (3.2), although for the Sarason problem, (3.3) is more natural and will frequently appear.

Throughout this section, we will denote the expectation of a function f over a cube Q by

$$\mathbb{E}_Q |f|,$$

and the expectation of a function f over a cube Q with respect to a weight σ will be denoted by

$$\mathbb{E}^{\sigma}_Q |f|.$$

We consider a dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and denote it by $\mathcal{D}$. The class of operators we are interested in are dyadic positive operators of the form

$$T(f) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \tau_Q (\mathbb{E}_Q |f|) 1_Q, \tag{3.4}$$

where τ_Q is a sequence of nonnegative scalars and 1_E indicates the characteristic function on the set E.

Given two weights w and σ, we aim to characterise the boundedness of the operator T in the two-weight setting. More precisely, we state the question as follows:

Question 3.5. *Characterize the pairs of weights w and σ for which*

$$T(w \cdot) : L^p(w) \mapsto L^p(\sigma) \text{ is bounded.} \tag{3.6}$$

The following theorem provides an answer to this question. In this precise form, it is due to Lacey, Sawyer and Uriarte-Tuero [24]. We present a simplified version of their original proof. Our proof can also be adapted to the disc, with the Carleson cubes associated to a dyadic grid in $\mathbb{T}$ as the dyadic family.

Theorem 3.7. *Let w, σ be two weights and let T be a dyadic positive operators as in (3.4). Then*

$$T(w\cdot) : L^p(w) \mapsto L^p(\sigma) \tag{3.8}$$

is bounded, if and only if

$$\|T(w1_Q)\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} \leq C_0 w(Q), \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\|T^*(\sigma 1_Q)\|^p_{L^p(w)} \leq C_0^* \sigma(Q), \tag{3.10}$$

for all Q dyadic cube in $\mathcal{D}$, and constants C_0 and C_0^* independent of the cubes Q. Moreover, there exists a constant c independent of T and w, σ, such that

$$\|T(w\cdot)\|_{L^p(w)\to L^p(\sigma)} \leq c(C_0 + C_0^*).$$

Remark 3.11. In fact, one needs only weaker testing conditions in order to get boundedness of the operator, namely, (3.9) and (3.10) can be replaced by

$$\|T_{in,Q}(w1_Q)\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} \leq C_0 w(Q), \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\|T^*_{in,Q}(\sigma 1_Q)\|^p_{L^p(w)} \leq C_0^* \sigma(Q) \tag{3.13}$$

respectively, where $T_{in,Q} := \sum_{\substack{P\in\mathcal{D}\\ P\subset Q}} \tau_P(\mathbb{E}_P|f|)1_P$. The use of these weaker testing conditions (3.12) and (3.13) can be traced in the proof of Theorem 3.7 below.

The characterization in terms of testing conditions for dyadic positive operators in $\mathbb{R}^n$ was provided by Lacey, Sawyer and Uriarte-Tuero [24], based on previous work of Eric Sawyer in the continuos case [38, 39]. In a recent paper [41], Treil was able to simplify their argument. Our contribution aims to further simplify Treil's latest proof, we use one discretizing procedure (the Corona decomposition in subsection 3.1), and we avoid the appeal to the Carleson Embedding theorem.

Let Δw denote the weight obtained from w by averaging

$$\Delta w = \sum_{\substack{I \text{ interval}\\ I\subset\mathbb{T}}} \mathbb{E}_{T_I}(w1_{T_I})1_{T_I}$$

Remark 3.14. The boundedness of

$$T(w\cdot) : L^p(w) \mapsto L^p(\sigma)$$

depends only Δw and $\Delta\sigma$.

3.1. **A Corona Decomposition.** For now and throughout this section, we will assume without loss of generality that the function f is positive.

Definition 3.15. Let Q_0 be a cube in $\mathcal{D}$ and let $\mathcal{D}_0$ be a family of cubes contained in Q_0. Let w be a weight in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let f be a positive locally integrable function. We define

$$\mathcal{L}(Q_0) = \{Q \in \mathcal{D}_0 \,:\, Q \text{ is a maximal cube in } \mathcal{D}_0 \text{ such that } \mathbb{E}^w_Q|f| > 4\mathbb{E}^w_{Q_0}|f|\}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{L}_0 := \{Q_0\}$$

recursively

$$\mathcal{L}_i := \cup_{L\in\mathcal{L}_{i-1}}\mathcal{L}(L).$$

We will denote the union of all the stopping cubes by $\mathcal{L} := \cup_{i\geq 0}\mathcal{L}_i$. We notice that we could also define the starting family $\mathcal{L}_0$ as a union of disjoint maximal cubes and repeat the above construction in each one of the cubes in $\mathcal{L}_0$. Given $Q \in \mathcal{D}_0$, we define $\lambda(Q)$ as the minimal cube $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $Q \subset L$ and $\mathcal{D}(L) := \{Q \in \mathcal{D}_0 \,:\, \lambda(Q) = L\}$.

We consider now the dyadic Hardy-Littlewood maximal function in its weighted form. For a weight w, we define

$$M_w f(x) = \sup_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} \frac{1_Q}{w(Q)} \int_Q |f| w dm, \tag{3.16}$$

where dm stands for the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The following result is a well-known classical theorem.

Theorem 3.17.

$$\|M_w f\|_{L^p(wdm)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(wdm)} \tag{3.18}$$

where the constant C is independent of the weight w.

The stopping cubes in Definition 3.15 provide the right collection of sets to linearise the dyadic Hardy Littlewood maximal function described in (3.16), i.e., we have the following pointwise estimate:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{L}} (\mathbb{E}^w_L|f|) 1_L(x) \lesssim M_w f(x) \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.19}$$

The proof of (3.19) is an exercise. Suppose x is not contained in any of the cubes of the starting collection $\mathcal{L}_0$, then the left hand side is zero, and the inequality is trivially true. If, on the contrary, $x \in Q_0$ for some $Q_0 \in \mathcal{L}_0$, there exists a stopping cube $L' \in \mathcal{L}$ with minimal side length such that $x \in L'$. We also know that the expectations are increasing geometrically, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}^w_L|f| > 4\mathbb{E}^w_{\tilde{L}}|f|, \text{ for all } L, \tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L},\, \tilde{L} \subsetneq L,$$

therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{L\in\mathcal{L}\\x\in L}}\mathbb{E}_L^w|f|\lesssim E_{L'}^w|f|\le M_wf(x),$$

concluding the proof of (3.19).

An application of (3.19) and Theorem 3.17 provides the following useful inequality:

$$\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{E}_L^w|f|)^pw(L)\lesssim\|f\|_{L^p(wdm)} \tag{3.20}$$

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.7.**

Proof. We are now ready to prove the main theorem in this section. We will assume there is a finite collection of dyadic cubes $\mathcal{Q}$ in the definition of the operator T, and we will prove the operator norm is independent of the chosen collection. So from now on

$$Tf=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}\tau_Q(\mathbb{E}_Qf)1_Q$$

It is enough to prove boundedness of the bilinear form $\langle T(wf),g\sigma\rangle$, where $0\le f\in L^p(w)$ and $0\le g\in L^{p'}(\sigma)$. Following the argument in [41], we seek an estimate of the form

$$\langle T(wf),g\sigma\rangle\le A\|f\|_{L^p(w)}\|g\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)}+B\|f\|_{L^p(w)}^p. \tag{3.21}$$

We first divide the cubes in $\mathcal{Q}$ into two collections $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2$ according to the following criterion. A cube Q will belong to $\mathcal{Q}_1$, if

$$\left(\mathbb{E}_Q^wf\right)^pw(Q)\ge\left(\mathbb{E}_Q^\sigma g\right)^{p'}\sigma(Q), \tag{3.22}$$

and it will belong to $\mathcal{Q}_2$ otherwise. This reorganisation of the cubes allows us to write $T=T_1+T_2$, where

$$T_if=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_i}\tau_Q(\mathbb{E}_Qf)1_Q,\ i=1,2.$$

The idea of writing T as the sum of T_1 and T_2 was already present in the work of Treil [41] and previously in the work of Nazarov, Treil and Volberg [34].

We will prove boundedness of T_1 using the testing condition (3.9). The boundedness of T_2 can be proven analogously to T_1, only using (3.10) this time.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_1(wf),g\sigma\rangle &= \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_1}\tau_Q\mathbb{E}_Q(fw)\langle g\sigma,1_Q\rangle\\ &= \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}(L)}\tau_Q\mathbb{E}_Q(fw)\langle g\sigma,1_Q\rangle\\ &= \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}}\langle T_L(wf),g\sigma\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a collection of stopping cubes in the family $\mathcal{Q}_1$, to be specified below, and $T_L f = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}(L)} \tau_Q \mathbb{E}_Q(f)1_Q$. To find the collection of stopping cubes $\mathcal{L}$, we define $\mathcal{L}_0$ as the collection of maximal cubes in the family $\mathcal{Q}_1$, and follow the Definition 3.15 for given f and w to define $\mathcal{L}$, with (Q_1) as our family of dyadic cubes.

We are going to estimate the bilinear form

$$\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}} \langle T_L(wf), g\sigma\rangle, \tag{3.23}$$

but before doing this, let us look at the norm of T_L. We claim that

$$\|T_L(wf)\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} \le C_0 4^p \left(\mathbb{E}^w_L(f)\right)^p w(L). \tag{3.24}$$

This is easily verified by

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_L(wf)\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} &= \|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}(L)} \tau_Q \mathbb{E}_Q(fw)1_Q\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} \\
&= \|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}(L)} \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|}\tau_Q \mathbb{E}^w_Q(f)1_Q\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} \\
&\le 4^p \left(\mathbb{E}^w_L(f)\right)^p \|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}(L)} \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|}\tau_Q 1_Q\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} \\
&\le 4^p \left(\mathbb{E}^w_L(f)\right)^p \|T(w1_Q)\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)} \\
&\le 4^p C_0 \left(\mathbb{E}^w_L(f)\right)^p w(L),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we have used that $Q\in\mathcal{D}(L)$ are not stopping cubes, and in the last inequality, the testing condition (3.9).

We now estimate (3.23).

$$\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}} \langle T_L(wf), g\sigma\rangle = \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}} \int T_L(wf)(x)g(x)\sigma(x)dx = (I)+(II), \tag{3.25}$$

where

$$(I) = \sum_i \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i} \int_{L \,\setminus\, \cup_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} L'} T_L(wf)(x)g(x)\sigma(x)dx,$$

and

$$(II) = \sum_i \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i} \int_{\cup_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} L'} T_L(wf)(x)g(x)\sigma(x)dx.$$

We proceed to estimate (I),

$$(I) \le \sum_i \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i} \|T_L(fw)\|_{L^p(\sigma)} \|g 1_{L\setminus \cup_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} L'}\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\sum_i \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i} \|T_L(fw)\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_i \sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i} \|g1_{L\setminus \cup_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} L'}\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(\sigma)}\right)^{1/p'}\\
&\leq 4C_0^{1/p}\left(\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}} (\mathbb{E}^w_L f)^p\, w(L)\right)^{1/p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)}\\
&\lesssim C_0\|f\|_{L^p(w)}\|g\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the first two inequalities, we have used Hölder's inequality, and in the third one we have used the testing condition (3.9) and the fact that $\cup_i \cup_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i} L \setminus \cup_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} L'$ forms a partition of the maximal cubes in $\mathcal{L}_0$. For the last inequality, we have used (3.20).

We now turn to (II). Before we proceed with the estimate, let us note the following remark.

Remark 3.26. Let $L\in\mathcal{L}$ be fixed, then the operator $T_L(fw)$ is constant on L', where $L'\in\mathcal{L}$, $L'\subsetneq L$. We will denote this constant by $T_L(fw)(L')$.

Taking this remark into account, we get the following estimates for fixed $L\in\mathcal{L}_i$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\cup_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} L'} T_L(wf)(x)g(x)\sigma(x)dx &= \sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} T_L(fw)(L')\int_{L'} g\sigma dx\\
&= \sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} \int_{L'} T_L(fw)(x)\,(\mathbb{E}^\sigma_{L'}g)\,\sigma(x)dx\\
&= \int_L T_L(fw)(x)\left(\sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} \mathbb{E}^\sigma_{L'}g1_{L'}(x)\right)\sigma(x)dx\\
&\leq \|T_L(fw)\|_{L^p(\sigma)}\left\|\sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} \mathbb{E}^\sigma_{L'}g1_{L'}\right\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)}\\
&= \|T_L(fw)\|_{L^p(\sigma)}\left(\sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}} (\mathbb{E}^\sigma_{L'}g)^{p'}\sigma(L')\right)^{1/p'}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\leq \quad 4C_0\mathbb{E}^w_L|f|w(L)^{1/p}\left(\sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}}(\mathbb{E}^w_{L'}f)^p w(L')\right)^{1/p'},$$

where we have used Remark 3.26, Hölder's inequality, (3.24) and the hypothesis (3.22). We now proceed to sum the previous estimates in L to obtain the desired bound for (II).

$$\begin{aligned}(II) \quad &\lesssim \quad \sum_i\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i}\mathbb{E}^w_L|f|w(L)^{1/p}\left(\sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}}(\mathbb{E}^w_{L'}f)^p w(L')\right)^{1/p'}\\ &\lesssim \quad \left(\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{E}^w_L|f|)^p w(L)\right)^{1/p}\left(\sum_i\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_i}\sum_{\substack{L'\in\mathcal{L}_{i+1}\\ L'\subset L}}(\mathbb{E}^w_{L'}f)^p w(L')\right)^{1/p'}\\ &\lesssim \quad \|f\|_{L^p(w)}\|f\|^{p/p'}_{L^p(w)}\lesssim\|f\|^p_{L^p(w)}.\end{aligned}$$

Adding (I) and (II), we get the desired estimate (3.21).

□

We now turn to the two weight characterization for the case of the maximal Bergman projection P^+_B and its associated dyadic model P^β. We start with P^β. One can state the following theorem.

Theorem 3.27. *Let $\mathcal{D}^\beta$ be a fixed dyadic grid in $\mathbb{T}$ and let P^β as defined in (2.5). Then*

$$P^\beta(w\cdot)\,:\,L^p(w)\to L^p(\sigma)$$

is bounded, if and only if

$$\|\sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta\\ I\subset I_0}}\langle w1_{Q_{I_0}},\frac{1_{Q_I}}{|I|^2}\rangle 1_{Q_I}\|^p_{L^p(\sigma)}\leq C_0 w(Q_{I_0}), \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\|\sum_{\substack{I\in\mathcal{D}^\beta\\ I\subset I_0}}\langle\sigma1_{Q_{I_0}},\frac{1_{Q_I}}{|I|^2}\rangle 1_{Q_I}\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(w)}\leq C^*_0\sigma(Q_{I_0}), \tag{3.29}$$

for all I dyadic interval in $\mathcal{D}^\beta$, where Q_I represents the Carleson box associated to I and the constants C_0 and C^*_0 are independent of the intervals I. Moreover, there exists a constant $c>0$ independent of the weights, such that

$$\left\|P^\beta(w\cdot)\right\|_{L^p(w)^p\to L^p(\sigma)}\leq c(C_0+C^*_0).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.27 in the disc $\mathbb{D}$ is identical to the one we describe in Theorem 3.7. In the case of the disc, our dyadic system will be described by the Carleson cubes associated to the intervals in the dyadic grid $\mathcal{D}^\beta$ in $\mathbb{T}$. The boundedness of the weighted Hardy-Littlewood maximal function over dyadic Carleson cubes in the disc will be used instead of Theorem 3.17. We will also consider the testing conditions (3.12) and (3.13). The details of the proof are left to the reader.

We obtain the following corollary, which presents a two weight characterization for the maximal Bergman projection.

Corollary 3.30. *Let P_B^+ be the maximal Bergman projection in the disc $\mathbb{D}$, let $1 < p < \infty$ and p' its dual exponent and let w, σ be two weight functions. Then*

$$M_{w^{1/p}} P_B^+ M_{\sigma^{1/p'}} : L^p(\mathbb{D}) \to L^p(\mathbb{D})$$

is bounded, if and only if

$$\|M_{w^{1/p}} P_B^+ M_{\sigma^{1/p'}} (1_{Q_I} \sigma^{1/p})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} \leq C_0 \|1_{Q_I} \sigma^{1/p}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\|M_{\sigma^{1/p'}} P_B^+ M_{w^{1/p}} (1_{Q_I} w^{1/p'})\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C_0^* \|1_{Q_I} w^{1/p'}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{D})}, \tag{3.32}$$

for any interval I in $\mathbb{T}$, where the constants C_0 and C_0^* are independent of the choice of interval.

Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of the weights, such that

$$\left\| M_{w^{1/p}} P_B^+ M_{\sigma^{1/p'}} \right\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \leq c(C_0 + C_0^*).$$

As in the introduction, the operators M_h stand for the operator of multiplication by the symbol h.

Proof. We only have to prove one direction. By the first inequality in (2.7), the testing condition (3.31) and (3.32) imply the corresponding testing condition for each P^β, and therefore the uniform boundedness of all P_β by Theorem 3.27. The second inequality in (2.7) now implies the boundedness of $M_{w^{1/p}} P_B^+ M_{\sigma^{1/p'}}$ with the required norm bounds. □

We note that the positivity of P_B^+ and the left hand-side of (2.7) are crucial here to recover the non-dyadic case from the dyadic one. This advantage is not present in the case of cancellative operators such as the Bergman projection itself.

4. P AND P_+ ARE EQUIVALENT

Given $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, we denote as before

$$b_{f,g} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} B^{1/2}(|f|^2)(z) B^{1/2}(|g|^2)(z).$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then $T_f T_g^*$ is bounded on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if the operator $P_{f,g}^+$ defined by*

$$P_{f,g}^+ u(z) = |f(z)| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|g(\zeta)| u(\zeta)}{|1 - \overline{\zeta} z|^2} dA(\zeta)$$

is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$*.*

For the proof of the theorem, we need some preliminary estimates and begin with a completely elementary lemma which will play the key role in our argument.

Lemma 4.2. *For* $z,\zeta\in\mathbb{D}$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} &= -\frac{\overline{\zeta}z}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2}+\frac{1-|z\zeta|^2}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2}\\ &= -\mathrm{Re}\frac{\overline{\zeta}z}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2}+\frac{1-|z\zeta|^2}{2|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2}+\frac{(1-|z\zeta|^2)^2}{2|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $w=\overline{\zeta}z\in\mathbb{D}$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(1-w)^2}+\frac{1}{|1-w|^2}=\frac{2}{(1-w)}\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(1-w)}\\ &=\frac{1}{(1-w)}+\frac{1}{(1-w)}\mathrm{Re}\frac{1+w}{1-w}\,,\end{aligned}$$

and the first identity follows from $\mathrm{Re}\frac{1+w}{1-w}=\frac{1-|w|^2}{|1-w|^2}$. For the second, we just take the real part on both sides of the first and use $\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{1-w}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1-|w|^2}{2|1-w|^2}$. □

The next two lemmas deal with estimates for integral operators whose kernels are involved in the identities above.

Lemma 4.3. *For* $f,g\in A^2(\mathbb{D})$*,* $u\in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ *and* $z\in\mathbb{D}$ *let*

$$\begin{aligned}C^1_{f,g}u(z)&=|f(z)|\int_{\mathbb{D}}|g|u(\zeta)\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2}dA(\zeta)\,,\\ C^2_{f,g}u(z)&=|f(z)|\int_{\mathbb{D}}|g|u(\zeta)\frac{(1-|z|^2)(1-|\zeta|^2)}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4}dA(\zeta)\,,\\ C^3_{f,g}u(z)&=|f(z)|\int_{\mathbb{D}}|g|u(\zeta)\frac{1-|\zeta|^2}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2}dA(\zeta)\,,\\ C^4_{f,g}u(z)&=|f(z)|\int_{\mathbb{D}}|g|u(\zeta)\frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4}dA(\zeta)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then for $j=1,2$

$$\|C_ju\|_2\lesssim b_{f,g}\|u\|_2\,.$$

Moreover, for any measurable set $E\subset\mathbb{D}$

$$\|C^3_{f,g}g1_E\|_2\lesssim\|P^+_{f,g}g1_E\|_2^{1/2}b_{f,g}^{1/2}\|g1_E\|_2^{1/2}\,,$$

and

$$\|C^4_{f,g}g1_E\|_2\lesssim b_{f,g}\|g1_E\|_2\,.$$

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$|C^1_{f,g}u(z)| \leq |f(z)|B^{1/2}(|g|^2)(z)\|u\|_2 \leq b_{f,g}\|u\|_2\,.$$

Similarly,

$$|C^2_{f,g}u(z)| \leq |f(z)|B^{1/2}(|g|^2)(z)\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|u(\zeta)|^2(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4}dA(\zeta)\right)^{1/2}\,,$$

so that

$$\|C^2_{f,g}u\|_2^2 \leq b^2_{f,g}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|u(\zeta)|^2(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4}dA(\zeta)\right)dA(z) \lesssim b^2_{f,g}\|u\|_2^2$$

by a standard estimate for integrals (see for example page 10 in [16]). Another application of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality shows that it will suffice to prove the estimate for $C^4_{f,g}$ since

$$|C^3_{f,g}u(z)| \leq (P^+_{f,g}u(z))^{1/2}C_4^{1/2}u(z)\,.$$

This follows essentially the argument in [1] (proof of Lemma 3.1). Use the inequality $|1-\overline{\lambda}w| \leq |1-\overline{z}w| + |1-\overline{\lambda}z|$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|C^4_{f,g}u\|_2^2 \leq \\
&\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2\frac{|gu(\lambda)||gu(w)|(1-|\lambda|^2)^2(1-|w|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{z}w|^4|1-\overline{z}\lambda|^4}dA(\lambda)dA(w)dA(z)\\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2\frac{|gu(\lambda)||gu(w)|(1-|\lambda|^2)^2(1-|w|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{\lambda}w|^4|1-\overline{z}\lambda|^4}dA(\lambda)dA(w)dA(z)\\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2\frac{|gu(\lambda)||gu(w)|(1-|\lambda|^2)^2(1-|w|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{\lambda}w|^4|1-\overline{z}w|^4}dA(\lambda)dA(w)dA(z)\\
&= 2\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2\frac{|gu(\lambda)||gu(w)|(1-|\lambda|^2)^2(1-|w|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{\lambda}w|^4|1-\overline{z}w|^4}dA(\lambda)dA(w)dA(z)\\
&= 2\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}} B(|f|^2)(w)|gu(w)|\frac{|gu(\lambda)|(1-|\lambda|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{\lambda}w|^4}dA(\lambda)dA(w)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

When $u = gv$ the inequality $|g|^2B(|f|^2) \leq b^2_{f,g}$ together with the standard estimate for integrals mentioned above yield

$$\|C^4_{f,g}gv\|^2 \lesssim b^2_{f,g}\|v\|_\infty\|g^2v\|_1\,,$$

and choosing $v = 1_E$ the result follows. ☐

In what follows we shall use the well known complex differential operators $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, $\overline{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}$. Let us also note that if $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $T_fT_g^*$ is bounded on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$ then it is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ with the same norm, since for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ we have

$$T_fT_g^*u = T_fT_g^*Pu\,,$$

where P is the Bergman projection.

Lemma 4.4. *For $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$ with $f(0) = 0$, $u \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$ let*

$$Ru(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|gu(\zeta)|}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} dA(\zeta)\,,$$

and let

$$Tu(z) = \frac{1}{z} Ru(z) - (1-|z|^2)\partial Ru(z)\,, \quad Su(z) = Ru(z) - \frac{(1-|z|^2)^2}{2}\partial\overline{\partial} Ru(z)\,.$$

Then

$$\|fTg1_E\|_2 \lesssim (\|T_f T_g^*\| + b_{f,g})\|g1_E\|_2 + \|P^+_{f,g} g1_E\|_2^{1/2} b_{f,g}^{1/2} \|g1_E\|_2^{1/2}\,,$$

and

$$\|fSg1_E\|_2 \lesssim (\|T_f T_g^*\| + b_{f,g})\|g1_E\|_2\,,$$

for all measurable sets $E \subset \mathbb{D}$.

Proof. Rewrite the first identity in Lemma 4.2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{z|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} &= -\frac{\overline{\zeta}}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2} + \frac{1-|z\zeta|^2}{z|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} + \frac{(1-|z\zeta|^2)\overline{\zeta}}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} \\
&= -\frac{\overline{\zeta}}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2} + \frac{1-|z|^2}{z|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} + \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)\overline{z}}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)\overline{\zeta}|z|^2}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} + \frac{(1-|z|^2)\overline{\zeta}}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Let M be the operator of multiplication by the independent variable on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$, $Mv(z) = zv(z)$. It is obvious that M is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. As it turns out it also satisfies a bound from below in some cases, namely,

$$\|v\|_{L^2(r\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \|Mv\|_{L^2(r\mathbb{D})}\,, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\|v\|_{L^2_{(1-|z|^2)^2}(r\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \|Mv\|_{L^2_{(1-|z|^2)^2}(r\mathbb{D})}\,, \tag{4.6}$$

valid for all subharmonic functions v in $\mathbb{D}$ and all $0 < r \leq 1$. These estimates can be easily deduced from the subharmonicity of v. For a measurable function h on $\mathbb{D}$ let $\phi_h(z) = \overline{h(z)}/|h(z)|$, when $h(z) \neq 0$, and $\phi_h(z) = 1$ otherwise, and denote by U_h the unitary operator of multiplication by ϕ_h on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. Multiply both sides by $|gu(\zeta)|$, integrate on $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. $dA(\zeta)$, and note that

$$\partial Ru(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|gu(\zeta)|\overline{\zeta}}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} dA(\xi)\,.$$

Using the above notations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|f|Tu(z) &= \frac{1}{z}|f|Ru(z) - (1-|z|^2)|f|\partial Ru(z) \\
&= -(U_f T_f T_g^* U_g^* M^*|u|)(z) + \frac{1}{z}(C^1_{f,g}|u|(z)) + M^*(C^1_{g,f})^*|u|(z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ (M^*MC^3_{f,g}M^*|u|)(z)\,.$$

If we let $u = g1_E$ then the first estimate in the statement follows directly by Lemma 4.3 together with the fact that $b_{f,g} = b_{g,f}$ and (4.6). The proof of the second estimate is similar. We rewrite the second identity in Lemma 4.2 as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} &= -\mathrm{Re}\frac{\overline{\zeta}z}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2} + \frac{1-|z|^2}{2|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} + \frac{|z|^2(1-|\zeta|^2)}{2|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^2} + \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2}{2|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1-|z|^2)(1-|\zeta|^2)(|\zeta|^2+|\zeta|^2)}{2|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4} + \frac{(1-|z|^2)^2|\zeta|^4}{2|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4}\,,\end{aligned}$$

multiply both sides by $|gu(\zeta)|$, integrate on $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. $dA(\zeta)$, and note that

$$(1-|z|^2)^2\partial\overline{\partial}Ru(z) = (1-|z|^2)^2\int_{\mathbb{D}}\frac{|gu(\zeta)||\zeta|^2}{|1-\overline{\zeta}z|^4}dA(\zeta)\,.$$

Thus with the notations above we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f|Su(z) &= -\mathrm{Re}(MU_fT_fT_g^*U_g^*M^*|u|)(z) + \frac{1}{2}C^1_{f,g}|u|(z) + \frac{1}{2}M^*M(C^1_{f,g})^*|u|(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}C^4_{f,g}|u|(z) + \frac{1}{2}(C^2_{f,g}(2M^*M)|u|)(z) + \frac{1}{2}((I-M^*M)C^2_{f,g}M^*M|u|)(z)\,.\end{aligned}$$

If we let $u = g1_E$ then the result follows by another application of Lemma 4.3. ☐

With the lemmas in hand we can now proceed to the proof of our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Of course, the interesting part is to prove the boundedness of $P^+_{f,g}$ under the assumption that $T_fT_g^*$ is bounded. By Corollary 3.30 it suffices to show that

$$\|P^+_{f,g}|g|1_{Q_I}\|_2 \lesssim \||g|1_{Q_I}\|_2\,, \quad \|P^+_{g,f}|f|1_{Q_I}\|_2 \lesssim \||f|1_{Q_I}\|_2\,, \tag{4.7}$$

for all Carleson boxes Q_I with I an interval in $\mathbb{T}$. To this end, let us assume first that $f(0) = 0$, and that $u \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ is compactly supported. We shall focus our attention on the function

$$\mathbb{E}(z) = (1-|z|^2)^2\partial\overline{\partial}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z) - \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{(1-|z|^2)}{z}\overline{\partial}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z)\right) \tag{4.8}$$

The standard growth estimate for Bergman space functions (see page 54 in [16]) shows that under our assumptions we can apply Stokes' formula and one of the Green's identities to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\mathbb{E}(z)dA(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z)(\partial\overline{\partial}(1-|z|^2)^2) + \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\overline{\partial}(1-|z|^2)\right)dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z)(4|z|^2-3)dA(z)\,,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}(z)dA(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} (P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z)dA(z)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

With the notation in Lemma 4.4 we have $(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2 = |f|^2R^2u$, and a direct computation gives

$$\overline{\partial}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2 = \overline{f'}fR^2u + 2|f|^2Ru\overline{\partial}Ru\,.$$

In the formulas below we will commit a convenient abuse of notation and write z also for the identity function on $\mathbb{D}$. Use Lemma 4.4 to obtain

$$\frac{1}{z}\overline{\partial}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z) = \frac{1}{z}\overline{f'}(z)f(z)R^2u(z)+2(1-|z|^2)|f|^2(z)\partial Ru(z)\overline{\partial}Ru(z)+2|f|^2(z)Tu(z)\overline{\partial}Ru(z)\,.$$

Obviously, $(1-|z|^2)|\overline{\partial}Ru| \leq 2Ru$, and $\partial Ru\overline{\partial}Ru \geq 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(1-|z|^2)}{z}\overline{\partial}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z)\right) &\leq (1-|z|^2)\operatorname{Re}\frac{1}{z}\overline{f'}(z)f(z)R^2u(z) \\ &+ 2(1-|z|^2)^2|f|^2\partial Ru\overline{\partial}Ru + 4|f|^2Ru|Tu|\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\partial\overline{\partial}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2 = |f'|^2R^2u + 4\operatorname{Re}\overline{f'}fRu\partial Ru + 2|f|^2\partial Ru\overline{\partial}Ru + 2|f|^2Ru\partial\overline{\partial}Ru\,.$$

and apply Lemma 4.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1-|z|^2)^2\partial\overline{\partial}(P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2(z) &= (1-|z|^2)^2|f'|^2(z)R^2u(z) \\ &+ 4(1-|z|^2)\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\overline{f'}(z)f(z)R^2u(z)\right) + 2(1-|z|^2)^2|f|^2(z)\partial Ru(z)\overline{\partial}Ru(z) + 4|f|^2(z)R^2u(z) \\ &- 4(1-|z|^2)\operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{f'}(z)f(z)Ru(z)Tu(z)\right) - 4|f|^2(z)Ru(z)Su(z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.10) and (4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} &\geq (1-|z|^2)^2|f'|^2(z)R^2u(z) + 3(1-|z|^2)\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\overline{f'}(z)f(z)R^2u(z)\right) + 4|f|^2(z)R^2u(z) \\ &- 4(1-|z|^2)\operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{f'}(z)f(z)Ru(z)Tu(z)\right) - 4|f|^2(z)Ru(z)Su(z) - 4|f|^2(z)Ru(z)|Tu(z)|\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Fix $\delta \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ and use the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(1-|z|^2)^2|f'|^2(z)R^2u(z) + 3(1-|z|^2)\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\overline{f'}(z)f(z)R^2u(z)\right) \geq -\frac{9}{4\delta|z|^2}|f|^2(z)R^2u(z) \\ &= -\frac{9}{4\delta}|f|^2(z)R^2u(z) - \frac{9}{4\delta|z|^2}|f|(z)Ru(z)C^1_{f,g}|u|\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1-\delta)(1-|z|^2)^2|f'|^2(z)R^2u(z) - 4(1-|z|^2)\operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{f'}(z)f(z)Ru(z)Tu(z)\right) \geq -\frac{4}{1-\delta}|f|^2(z)|Tu|^2(z)\,,$$

that come from completing squares to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(z) \geq (4-\frac{9}{4\delta})|f|^2(z)R^2(z) - \frac{4}{1-\delta}|f|^2(z)|Tu|^2(z) - 4|f|^2(z)Ru(z)Su(z)\\ - 4|f|^2(z)Ru(z)|Tu|(z) - \frac{9}{4\delta|z|^2}|f|(z)Ru(z)C^1_{f,g}|u|(z)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now recall that $|f|^2R^2u = (P^+_{f,g}|u|)^2$, and use the previous inequality in (4.9) together with the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the estimates (4.5) and (4.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(3-\frac{9}{4\delta})\|P^+_{f,g}|u|\|_2^2 \leq \frac{4}{1-\delta}\|fTu\|_2^2 + 4\|P^+_{f,g}|u|\|_2\|fSu\|_2\\ + 4\|P^+_{f,g}|u|\|_2\|fTu\|_2 + \frac{9k}{4\delta}\|P^+_{f,g}|u|\|_2\|C^1_{f,g}|u|\|_2\,,\end{aligned}$$

where k is the constant in (4.5). Now let $u = g1_E$ for a measurable set E with $\overline{E} \subset \mathbb{D}$. By the last inequality and the lemmas 4.4 and 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3-\frac{9}{4\delta})\|P^+_{f,g}|g|1_E\|_2^2 \lesssim (\|T_fT_g^*\| + b_{f,g})^2\|g1_E\|_2^2\\ + \|P^+_{f,g}|g|1_E\|_2(\|T_fT_g^*\| + b_{f,g})\|g1_E\|_2 + \|P^+_{f,g}|g|1_E\|_2^{3/2}b_{f,g}^{1/2}\|g1_E\|_2^{1/2}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Since $(3-\frac{9}{4\delta}) > 0$, this immediately implies that

$$\|P^+_{f,g}|g|1_E\|_2 \lesssim (\|T_fT_g^*\| + b_{f,g})\|g1_E\|_2\,.$$

The assumption that $\overline{E} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is easily removed by an approximation argument and Fatou's lemma, while the assumption $f(0) = 0$ can be removed by another use of (4.5). Finally, the remaining estimate in (4.7) is obtained by interchanging f and g, so that the proof is complete. □

5. Counterexamples

In these section we consider an example for which the Berezin condition (1.2) is satisfied, but for which the Toeplitz product $T_fT_g^*$, and the corresponding two-weighted Bergman projection, are not bounded. This does not provide a counterexample to the Sarason Conjecture 1.1, as one of the symbols we are using is not analytic, but the example points towards a negative answer of the conjecture. This example motivated the search for a different type of characterization by means of test functions, namely the one in our main Theorem 1.18.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f(z) = 1-|z|^2$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then there exists a function $g \in A^2$ such that*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} B(|f|^2)(z)B(|g|^2)(z) < \infty,$$

but the operator $T_fT_g^*$ is not bounded on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$, and the operator $P_{f,g}$ defined by

$$P_{f,g}u(z) = f(z)\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{g}(\zeta)u(\zeta)}{(1-\overline{z}\zeta)^2}dA(\zeta)\,.$$

is not bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$. *In particular, the weights* $\sigma^{-1}(z) = |g(z)|^2$ *and* $w(z) = |f(z)|^2$ *provide a counterexample to the generalized Sarason Conjecture for the Bergman space, Conjecture 1.15.*

Proof. Then standard integral estimates, see for instance page 7 in [16], immediately give

$$B(|f|^2)(z) \simeq (1-|z|^2)^2 \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}\,, \tag{5.2}$$

when $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|z|$ is close to 1. Given $g \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, and taking into account that $B(|f|^2)$ is subharmonic, the Berezin condition (1.2) is equivalent to

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^2 \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} B(|g|^2)(z) < \infty\,. \tag{5.3}$$

The following result follows with the usual techniques. We include a proof for the sake of completion. As usual we denote the Carleson box based on the arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ by Q_I, and the normalized length of I by $|I|$.

Proposition 5.4. *A function* $g \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ *satisfies* (5.3) *if and only if*

$$\int_{Q_I} |g|^2 dA \lesssim \frac{1}{\log \frac{2}{|I|}}\,, \tag{5.5}$$

for all arcs $I \subset \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. Assume that $g \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies (5.3), let I be an arc on $\mathbb{T}$, and let z be the center of Q_I. Then $1-|z|^2 \simeq |I|$, and for $\zeta \in Q_I$ we have $|1-\overline{z}\zeta| \simeq |I|$. Thus

$$(1-|z|^2)^2 \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} B(|g|^2)(z) \gtrsim \log \frac{2}{|I|} \int_{Q_I} |g|^2 dA\,,$$

which gives (5.5).

Conversely, let $z \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$A_k(z) = \mathbb{D} \cap \{\zeta \in \mathbb{D} : 2^k(1-|z|^2) \leq |1-\overline{z}\zeta| < 2^{k+1}(1-|z|^2)\}\,,$$

for each $k \geq 0$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-|z|^2)^2 \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} B(|g|^2)(z) &\simeq \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} \sum_{k:2^k(1-|z|^2)<2} 2^{-4k} \int_{A_k(z)} |g|^2 dA \\ &\leq \sum_{k:2^k(1-|z|^2)<2} (\log \frac{2}{2^k(1-|z|^2)} + k) 2^{-4k} \int_{A_k(z)} |g|^2 dA\,.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, each set $A_k(z)$ is contained in a Carleson box Q_I for some arc I which length is comparable to $2^k(1-|z|^2)$. Hence, condition (5.5) in the statement implies

$$(\log \frac{2}{2^k(1-|z|^2)} + k) \int_{A_k(z)} |g|^2 dA \lesssim 1 + k\|g\|_2^2,$$

which in turn implies (5.3). □

Our next result concerns the boundedness on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ of the operator $P_{f,g}$ defined by

$$P_{f,g}u(z) = f(z)\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{g}(\zeta)u(\zeta)}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2}dA(\zeta)\,.$$

As we shall see below, this is characterized by a strictly stronger condition. Recall that the Dirichlet D space consists of all analytic functions h on $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$\|h\|_D^2 = |h(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |h'|^2 dA < \infty\,.$$

A positive measure μ on $\mathbb{D}$ is called a Carleson measure for the Dirichlet space D if

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |h'(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim \|h\|_D^2 \text{ for } h \in D.$$

It follows directly from the product rule that a function $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is a multiplier of the Dirichlet space D, if and only if $|\phi'(z)|^2 dA(z)$ is a Carleson measure for D. However, the classical paper of Stegenga [40], Theorem 4.2, provides an example of a function $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that $g = \phi'$ is contained in A^2 and satisfies (5.5), but ϕ is not a multiplier on D, and $|g|^2 dA$ is consequently not a Carleson measure for D.

The following characterisation of boundedness of the operators $P_{f,g}$ and $T_f T_g^*$ thus finishes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proposition 5.6. *If $f(z) = 1 - |z|^2$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, then $T_f T_g^*$ and $P_{f,g}$ are bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$, if and only if $|g|^2 dA$ is a Carleson measure for the Dirichlet space.*

Proof. For every compactly supported $u \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ and for every $v \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$\langle P_{f,g}u, v\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} u(\zeta)\overline{g}(\zeta) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{v}(z)(1-|z|^2)}{(1-\overline{\zeta}z)^2} dA(z)dA(\zeta)\,.$$

It is well-known and easy to prove (see again [16] for details) that the operator

$$v \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{v(z)(1-|z|^2)}{(1-\overline{z}\zeta)^3} dA(z)$$

is a bounded projection from $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ onto $A^2(\mathbb{D})$, hence

$$v \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{v(z)(1-|z|^2)}{(1-\overline{z}\zeta)^2} dA(z)$$

is bounded and maps $L^2(\mathbb{D})$, and even $A^2(\mathbb{D})$, onto the Dirichlet space D. Then by the open mapping theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{f,g}\|_{L^2\to L^2} &= \sup\{|\langle P_{f,g}u, v\rangle| : \ \|u\|_2 = \|v\|_2 = 1,\ u \ \text{compactly supported in } \mathbb{D}\} \\
&\approx \sup\{|\langle P_{f,g}u, v\rangle| : \ \|u\|_2 = \|v\|_2 = 1,\ u \ \text{compactly supported in } \mathbb{D},\ v \text{ analytic}\} \\
&= \|T_f T_g^*\|_{L^2\to L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

is comparable to

$$\sup\left\{\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g|^2|h|^2 dA : \ h \in D, \ \|h\| \leq 1\right\},$$

and the result follows. □

Finally we should point out that in the case when both f and g are analytic on $\mathbb{D}$, the operators $P_{f,g}$ and $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ agree on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$, and the boundedness of $T_f T_{\overline{g}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ and on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$ are equivalent, so that the above argument provides counterexamples to the generalized Sarason Conjecture 1.15 as well as to the boundedness of Toeplitz products. □

We do not know whether the above phenomenon persists in case f is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Apart from f not being analytic in our example, $|f|^2$ is also very far from being subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, and subharmonicity of the weights seems to form a significant obstruction in the construction of counterexamples.

6. THE CLASS B_∞ AND SHARP ESTIMATES IN TERMS OF THE BÉKOLLÉ CONSTANT

In our last section we include an application of the two weight result for the maximal Bergman projection, namely we obtain sharp Békollé estimates by establishing sharp estimates for the testing conditions (3.28) and (3.29). We provide sharper estimates than the ones discussed by Pott and Reguera in [3].

6.1. **The class B_∞.** Following Békollé and Bonami [5], we say that a weight, i.e., a measurable positive function w, belongs to the class B_p for $1 < p < \infty$, if and only if

$$B_p(w) := \sup_{\substack{I \text{ interval} \\ I \subset \mathbb{T}}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|}\int_{Q_I} w dA\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|}\int_{Q_I} w^{1-p'} dA\right)^{p-1} < \infty \tag{6.1}$$

Definition 6.2. We say that a weight w belongs to the class B_∞, if and only if

$$B_\infty(w) := \sup_{\substack{I \text{ interval} \\ I \subset \mathbb{T}}} \frac{1}{w(Q_I)}\int_{Q_I} M(w 1_{Q_I}) < \infty, \tag{6.3}$$

where M stands for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function over Carleson cubes.

This definition of B_∞ is motivated by the Muckenhoupt version A_∞ described by Wilson in [45–47]. This A_∞ definition appears in the recent works of Lerner [28], Hytönen and Pérez [21] and Hytönen and Lacey [20] among others, where it is used to find sharp estimates in terms of the Muckenhoupt A_p and A_∞ constants.

In particular, B_∞ contains any of the classes B_p:

Proposition 6.4. *Let w be a weight and $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

$$B_\infty(w) \leq B_p(w)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}.$$

Proof. Let $w \in B_p$ and recall that $B_p(w) = B_{p'}(w')$, where $w' = w^{1-p'}$. Hence for any Carleson cube Q_I,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Q_I} M(1_{Q_I}w) &\leq \left(\int_{Q_I} M(1_{Q_I}w)^{p'}w'\right)^{1/p'}\left(\int_{Q_I} w\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\leq \|M(w\cdot)\|_{L^{p'}(w)\to L^{p'}(w')}w(Q_I)^{1/p'}w(Q_I)^{1/p}\\ &= \|M\|_{L^{p'}(w')\to L^{p'}(w')}w(Q_I) \leq B_p(w)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}w(Q_I),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimate (4.7) from [3] for the maximal function in the last line. □

6.2. **The sharp estimate.** The main result in this section is the following:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $w \in B_2$ be a Bekollé weight with constant $B_2(w)$ and let P^+ be the positive Bergman projection. Then*

$$\|P^+f\|_{L^2(w)} \leq CB_2(w)^{1/2}(B_\infty(w)^{1/2} + B_\infty(w^{-1})^{1/2})\|f\|_{L^2(w)}, \tag{6.6}$$

with C independent of the weight w.

Corollary 6.7. *The same result holds for the Bergman projection P_B.*

The method of proof will be as follows. We will consider the dyadic operators P^β and use Theorem 3.27 to obtain the sharp bound in the Békollé constants, which will be independent of the choice of the grid. An averaging operation will now yield the desired result.

The following lemma is known in the case of Muckenhoupt weights if the collection of cubes appearing in the sum is sparse, this can be found in [21]. In our case, the lemma reads as follows.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $\sigma \in B_\infty$, then*

$$\sum_{K:\,K\subset I} \sigma(Q_K) \leq 2B_\infty(\sigma)\sigma(Q_I). \tag{6.9}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{K:\,K\subset I} \sigma(Q_K) &= \sum_{K:\,K\subset I} \frac{\sigma(Q_K)}{|Q_K|}|Q_K|\\ &\leq 2\sum_{K:\,K\subset I} \frac{\sigma(Q_K)}{|Q_K|}|T_K|\\ &\leq 2\sum_{K:\,K\subset I} \int_{T_K} M(\sigma 1_{Q_I})dm\\ &\leq 2B_\infty(\sigma)\sigma(Q_I)\end{aligned}$$

□

We turn to proving the desired bound for the two testing conditions.

Proof of Theorem 6.5. We use Theorem 3.27 for the weights w and $\sigma = w' = w^{p'-1}$. We only have to show the appropriate bounds for the test function conditions, and we will only focus on one of the conditions, as the study of the other is analogous. In what follows, let $I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta$. We want to prove

$$\|P^\beta_{I,in}(w^{-1}1_{Q_I})\|^2_{L^2(w)} \lesssim B_2(w)B_\infty(w^{-1})w^{-1}(Q_I), \tag{6.10}$$

where the implicit constant does not depend on the chosen grid D^β or the weight w.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P^\beta_{I,in}(w^{-1}1_{Q_I})\|^2_{L^2(w)} &= \int_{Q_I}\left|\sum_{K\colon K\subset I}\langle w^{-1}1_{Q_I}, \frac{1_{Q_K}}{|K|}\rangle\frac{1_{Q_K}}{|K|}\right|^2 w\,dA \\
&= \int_{Q_I}\sum_{K\colon K\subset I}\langle w^{-1}1_{Q_I}, \frac{1_{Q_K}}{|K|}\rangle^2\frac{1_{Q_K}}{|K|^2}w\,dA \\
&\quad +2\int_{Q_I}\sum_{K'\colon K'\subset I}\sum_{K\colon K\subset K'}\langle w^{-1}1_{Q_I}, \frac{1_{Q_K}}{|K|}\rangle\langle w^{-1}1_{Q_I}, \frac{1_{Q_{K'}}}{|K'|}\rangle\frac{1_{Q_K}}{|K||K'|}w\,dA \\
&:= D + 2OD,
\end{aligned}$$

where the terminology for D and OD comes from the diagonal and the off-diagonal. Let us treat each term in turn.

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= \sum_{K\colon K\subset I}\frac{w^{-1}(Q_K)^2}{|K|^2}\frac{w(Q_K)}{|K|^2} \\
&\leq B_2(w)\sum_{K\colon K\subset I}w^{-1}(Q_K) \\
&\leq B_2(w)B_\infty(w^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from lemma 6.8. The off-diagonal term is equally simple,

$$\begin{aligned}
OD &= \sum_{K'\colon K'\subset I}\sum_{K\colon K\subset K'}\frac{w^{-1}(Q_{K'})}{|K'|}\frac{w^{-1}(Q_K)}{|K|}\frac{w(Q_K)}{|K||K'|} \\
&= \sum_{K'\colon K'\subset I}w^{-1}(Q_{K'})\frac{1}{|K'|^2}\sum_{K\colon K\subset K'}\frac{w^{-1}(Q_K)}{|K|^2}\frac{w(Q_K)}{|K|^2}|K^2| \\
&\leq B_2(w)\sum_{K'\colon K'\subset I}w^{-1}(Q_{K'})\frac{1}{|K'|^2}\sum_{K\colon K\subset K'}|K^2| \\
&\leq CB_2(w)\sum_{K'\colon K'\subset I}w^{-1}(Q_{K'}) \\
&\leq 2CB_2(w)B_\infty(w^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we have multiplied and divided by $|K|^2$ to use the Bekollé constant. $\square$

7. Acknowledgement

We thank the Crafoord Foundation for the partial support of this research. We also thank Nicola Arcozzi for a helpful discussion on Stegenga's counterexample.

References

[1] Alexandru Aleman and Olivia Constantin, *The Bergman projection on vector-valued -spaces with operator-valued weights*, Journal of Functional Analysis **262** (2012), no. 5, 2359 - 2378.

[2] Nicola Arcozzi, Richard Rochberg, and Eric Sawyer, *Carleson measures for analytic Besov spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **18** (2002), no. 2, 443–510.

[3] S. Pott and M.C. Reguera, *Sharp Békollé estimates for the Bergman Projection* (2012), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.1108`.

[4] David Bekollé, *Inégalité à poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de* $\mathbf{C}^n$, Studia Math. **71** (1981/82), no. 3, 305–323 (French).

[5] David Bekollé and Aline Bonami, *Inégalités à poids pour le noyau de Bergman*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **286** (1978), no. 18, A775–A778 (French, with English summary).

[6] Stephen M. Buckley, *Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **340** (1993), no. 1, 253–272.

[7] Michael Christ, *Weak type* $(1, 1)$ *bounds for rough operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 1, 19–42.

[8] David Cruz-Uribe, *The invertibility of the product of unbounded Toeplitz operators*, Int.Eq.Op.Th. **20** (1994), no. 2, 231–237.

[9] David Cruz-Uribe, José María Martell, and Carlos Pérez, *Sharp weighted estimates for classical operators*, Advances in Mathematics **229** (2012), no. 1, 408–441.

[10] David V. Cruz-Uribe, José Maria Martell, and Carlos Pérez, *Sharp weighted estimates for approximating dyadic operators*, Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. **17** (2010), 12–19.

[11] ______, *Weights, extrapolation and the theory of Rubio de Francia*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 215, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.

[12] Oliver Dragičević, Loukas Grafakos, María Cristina Pereyra, and Stefanie Petermichl, *Extrapolation and sharp norm estimates for classical operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Publ. Mat. **49** (2005), no. 1, 73–91.

[13] Gerald B. Folland, *Real analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1984. Modern techniques and their applications; A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[14] José García-Cuerva and José L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 116, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 104.

[15] John B. Garnett and Peter W. Jones, *BMO from dyadic BMO*, Pacific J. Math. **99** (1982), no. 2, 351–371.

[16] Haakan Hedenmalm, Boris Korenblum, and Kehe Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 199, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[17] R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt, and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), 227–251.

[18] T. Hytönen, *The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Annals of Mathematics **175** (2012), no. 3, 1473-1506, DOI 10.4007/annals.2012.175.3.9.

[19] T. Hytönen, M. Lacey, and C. Pérez, *Non-probabilistic proof of the* A_2 *theorem, and sharp weighted bounds for the q-variation of singular integrals* (2012), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2229`.

[20] T. Hytönen and M. Lacey, *The $A_p - A_{infty}$ inequality for general Calderon–Zygmund operators* (2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.4797.

[21] T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, *Sharp weighted bounds involving A_∞* (2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5562.

[22] M. Lacey, *On the Two Weight Hilbert Transform Inequality* (2013), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.4663.

[23] Michael T. Lacey, Stefanie Petermichl, and Maria Carmen Reguera, *Sharp A_2 inequality for Haar shift operators*, Math. Ann. **348** (2010), no. 1, 127–141.

[24] Michael T. Lacey, Eric T. Sawyer, and Ignacio Uriarte-Tuero, *Two Weight Inequalities for Discrete Positive Operators*, Submitted (2009), available at http://www.arxiv.org/abs/0911.3437.

[25] Michael T. Lacey, Eric T. Sawyer, Chun-Yen Shen, and Ignacio Uriarte-Tuero, *Two Weight Inequality for the Hilbert Transform: A Real Variable Characterization*, Submitted (2012), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.4319.

[26] Michael T. Lacey, Eric T. Sawyer, and Ignacio Uriarte-Tuero, *A Two Weight Inequality for the Hilbert transform Assuming an Energy Hypothesis*, Submitted (2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1001.4043.

[27] Andrei K. Lerner, *An elementary approach to several results on the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 8, 2829–2833.

[28] ———, *Sharp weighted norm inequalities for Littlewood-Paley operators and singular integrals*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 5, 3912–3926.

[29] A. Lerner, *A simpler proof of the A_2 Conjecture* (2012), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2824.

[30] Tao Mei, *BMO is the intersection of two translates of dyadic BMO*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **336** (2003), no. 12, 1003–1006 (English, with English and French summaries).

[31] M. Michalska, M. Nowak, and P. Sobolewski, *Bounded Toeplitz and Hankel products on weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball*, Ann. Polon. Math. **99** (2010), no. 1, 45–53.

[32] F. Nazarov, *A counterexample to Sarason's conjecture*, available at http://www.math.msu.edu/~fedja/prepr.html.

[33] F. Nazarov, A. Reznikov, and A. Volberg, *The proof of A_2 conjecture in a geometrically doubling metric space* (2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.1342.

[34] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg, *The Bellman functions and two-weight inequalities for Haar multipliers*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 4, 909–928.

[35] ———, *Two weight estimate for the Hilbert transform and corona decomposition for non-doubling measures* (2005), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.1596.

[36] ———, *Two weight inequalities for individual Haar multipliers and other well localized operators*, Math. Res. Letters **15** (2008), no. 3, 583–597.

[37] M.C. Reguera and J. Scurry, *On joint estimates for maximal functions and singular integrals in weighted spaces*, To appear in Proceedings of the AMS (2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.2027.

[38] Eric T. Sawyer, *A characterization of a two-weight norm inequality for maximal operators*, Studia Math. **75** (1982), no. 1, 1–11.

[39] ———, *A characterization of two weight norm inequalities for fractional and Poisson integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **308** (1988), no. 2, 533–545.

[40] David A. Stegenga, *Multipliers on the Dirichlet space*, Illinois J. Math. **24** (1980), no. 1, 113–139.

[41] S. Treil, *A Remark on Two Weight Estimates for Positive Dyadic Operators* (2012), available at http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1201.1455.

[42] Stefanie Petermichl and Alexander Volberg, *Heating of the Ahlfors-Beurling operator: weakly quasiregular maps on the plane are quasiregular*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), no. 2, 281–305.

[43] D. Sarason, *Products of Toeplitz Operators*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1573, 194.

[44] K. Stroethoff and D. Zheng, *Products of Hankel and Toeplitz Operators on the Bergman Space*, J. Funct. Anal. **169** (1999), no. 1, 289–313.
[45] J. Michael Wilson, *Weighted norm inequalities for the continuous square function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **314** (1989), no. 2, 661–692.
[46] ______, *Weighted inequalities for the dyadic square function without dyadic A_∞*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 1, 19–50.
[47] Michael Wilson, *Weighted Littlewood-Paley theory and exponential-square integrability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1924, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden
E-mail address: `aleman@maths.lth.se`

Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden
E-mail address: `sandra@maths.lth.se`

Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden
E-mail address: `mreguera@maths.lth.se`